

**МОВОЗНАВСТВО. ЛІТЕРАТУРОЗНАВСТВО**

UDC 811.14'02'38'44

DOI <https://doi.org/10.24919/2308-4863/34-4-12>**Roksolyana OLISHCHUK,***orcid.org/ 0000-0002-2461-1514**Candidate of Philological Sciences,**Associate Professor at the Department of Classical Philology**Ivan Franko National University of Lviv**(Lviv, Ukraine) [klassyka@ukr.net](mailto:klassyka@ukr.net)***Inesa CHAKAL,***orcid.org/ 0000-0001-9373-5986**Candidate of Philological Sciences,**Associate Professor at the Department of Romanian and Classical Philology**Yuriy Fedkovych Chernivtsi National University**(Chernivtsi, Ukraine) [inesa.chakal@gmail.com](mailto:inesa.chakal@gmail.com)***LEXICAL OBJECTIFICATION AND SUBJECTIFICATION OF THE CONCEPT  
“PLANT WORLD” IN THE NOVEL BY LONGUS “DAPHNIS AND CHLOE”**

*The article deals with the florolexemes as an important constituent of the author's lexical idiosystem (based on the novel “Daphnis and Chloe” by the ancient Greek writer of the 2<sup>nd</sup> century Longus). The attempt of characterizing the dominant lexemes of flora denotation is made, for which five microconcepts within the concept “Plant world” were singled out: 1) trees, their parts and fruits; 2) flowers; 3) shrubs and herbs; 4) collective names of the flora representatives; 5) crops, with the aim of determining their role for the writer's idiosystem. The lexemes of the microconcept “Trees, their parts and fruits” are mostly used by the author to describe the inner world of the characters and their feelings. In the novel pine (ἡ πίτυς), dedicated in ancient culture to Saturn and Pan, is an idiosyncratic symbol of the longevity of Daphnis's and Chloe's love. Among the names of the fruit an apple (τὸ μῆλον), which in the novel by Longus is the symbol of love, is mentioned especially often. The lexeme τὸ μῆλον in the novel we can be found in the epithet word combinations only with positive evaluating. The variety of flower denominations create an appropriate lexical background, in which the florolexemes indicating nature images carry the semantic charge inherent in a particular season. Longus contrasts the flowers created by nature (τὸ ἴον, ὁ νάρκισσος, ἡ ἀναγαλλίς), and man-made flowers (τὸ ρόδον, ὁ ὑάκινθος, τὸ κρίνον). In Longus's novel botanomorphical tropes fulfill an image-making function, which consists in assimilation or comparing humans (or parts of their bodies) with plants. In the Longus's novel among the nominations of the microconcept “Shrubs and herbs” the lexeme ὁ κιστός (ivy) attracts the attention; it belongs to evergreens and signifies immortality, eternal life. It has been defined that the analyzed microconcepts accomplish their lexical objectification in contexts through semantic connectivity with other words, revealing direct and figurative meaning and being parts of various artistic means.*

**Key words:** *conceptosphere “Nature”, concept “Plant world”, microconcepts, florolexemes, idiosystem, ancient Greek novel, Longus.*

**Роксоляна ОЛИЩУК,**  
orcid.org/0000-0002-2461-1514,  
кандидат філологічних наук,  
доцент кафедри класичної філології  
Львівського національного університету імені Івана Франка  
(Львів, Україна) [klassyuka@ukr.net](mailto:klassyuka@ukr.net)

**Інеса ЧАКАЛ,**  
orcid.org/0000-0001-9373-5986,  
кандидат філологічних наук,  
доцент кафедри румунської та класичної філології  
Чернівецького національного університету імені Юрія Федьковича  
(Чернівці, Україна) [inesa\\_chakal@yahoo.com](mailto:inesa_chakal@yahoo.com)

## ЛЕКСИЧНА ОБ'ЄКТИВАЦІЯ ТА СУБ'ЄКТИВАЦІЯ КОНЦЕПТУ «РОСЛИННИЙ СВІТ» У РОМАНІ ЛОНГА «ДАФНІС І ХЛОЯ»

У статті розглянуто флоролексеми як важливий складник авторської лексичної ідіосистеми (на матеріалі роману давньогрецького письменника II ст. Лонга «Дафніс і Хлоя»). Зроблено спробу охарактеризувати домінуючі лексеми на позначення флори, виділивши у концепті «Рослинний світ» п'ять мікроконцептів: 1) дерева, їх частини та плоди; 2) квіти; 3) кущі та трави; 4) сукупні назви представників рослинного світу; 5) сільсько-господарські культури, а також з'ясувати їх роль для ідіостилю письменника. Лексеми мікроконцепту «Дерева, їх частини та плоди» автор використовує здебільшого для змалювання внутрішнього світу героїв, їх почуттів. Сосна (ή πίττυς), яка в античній культурі присвячувалась Сатурну і Пану, у романі постає своєрідним символом довговічності кохання Дафніса і Хлої. Серед назв плодів особливо часто йдеться про яблуко (τὸ μῆλον), яке у романі Лонга є символом кохання. Лексему τὸ μῆλον фіксуємо у романі в епітетних словосполученнях лише з позитивною оцінною семантикою. Різноманітні назви квітів створюють відповідний лексичний фон, в якому флоролексеми на позначення картин природи несуть смислове навантаження, властиве тій чи іншій порі року. Лонг протиставляє квіти, народжені природою (τὸ ἴον, ὁ νάρκισσος, ἡ ἀναγallis), і квіти, які вирощує людина (τὸ ῥόδον, ὁ ὑάκινθος, τὸ κρίνον). Ботаноморфні тропи виконують у романі Лонга образотворчу функцію, що полягає в уподібненні або порівнянні людей (чи частин їх тіла) з рослинами. З-поміж номінацій мікроконцепту «Кущі та трави» у романі Лонга привертає увагу лексема ὁ κίττος (плющ), що належить до вічнозелених рослин і означає безсмертя, вічне життя. Встановлено, що досліджувані мікроконцепти здійснюють свою лексичну об'єктивацію у контекстах через семантичну сполучуваність з іншими словами, виявляючи при цьому прямий і переносний (образний) смисли і виступаючи в складі різних художніх засобів.

**Ключові слова:** концептосфера «Природа», концепт «Рослинний світ», мікроконцепти, флоролексеми, ідіостиль, давньогрецький роман, Лонг.

**Formulation of the problem.** Description of nature is one of the main themes in the novel by Longus “Daphnis and Chloe”, for nature becomes that background against which the central events of the novel are developed, and at the same time it is a means of the revealing the author’s attitude towards them. In the concept sphere “Nature” a crucial role is played by the concept “Plant world”, in the lexical objectification of which 78 lexical units are involved. They name those realias of nature that beautify the scene against which the plot development is unveiled.

**Analysis of researches.** The lexis for plants denominating was the object of investigation on the material of ancient literature, in particular, the works of Homer (Forster, 1936), Herodotus (Forster, 1942), Greek tragic writers (Forster, 1952), Pindar (Гринбаум, 1987), Theocretes (Івашків-Ващук, 2016), Virgil (Sargeant, 2010) etc.

The abovementioned lexis was sufficiently studied by the Ukrainian linguists tackling different problems:

the formation of botany lexis system (Ya. Zakrevska, L. Symonenko, I. Sabadosh), investigating of semantic motivation phenomena (A. Shamota, M. Feshchenko), regarding of floral lexemes as constituent parts of artistic devices (N. Varych, L. Goloyuh, V. Kalashnyk) and their participation in rite performing (M. Filipchuk) et al. Whereas the plant world of the novel by the II century A. D. ancient Greek writer Longus “Daphnis and Chloe” has not yet been the object of special investigation. This accounts for the **topicality** of the work carried out.

**The aim of the article** is to analyze the devices of lexical objectification and subjectification of floral lexemes used by Longus in his novel “Daphnis and Chloe”.

**The main tasks** of the investigation are the analysis of the vocabulary of the Longus’s work carried out in the light of floral lexis, systematization according to its semantics and stating its role in the lexical idiosystem of the writer.

**Presentation of main material.** Floral denominations belong to the most ancient layers of ancient Greek lexis. They form an important constituent part of the world language picture. Parallel nature of human's life and objective reality of the floral world in general "is considered the best poetic stylistic feature with all peoples, for the poetic nature of a word is hidden in the ethnolinguistic memory, and the roots of its metaphorization lie first of all in the depths of national consciousness" (Жайворонюк, 2007: 26).

As aptly stated in the "Ancient Mythology" encyclopaedia, "the marvelous, shadowy groves and certain beautiful trees greatly influenced the aesthetic and religious perception of the Hellenes, awoke in them the thought of God's approximation and on account of this were considered the most suitable places for sanctuaries. There are a lot of proofs of the trees worshipping as symbols of the Gods and even as Gods themselves. Such sacred trees were embellished with bands, wreaths, different gifts, sacrifices were made in front of them and so on" (Αντιχνη μυθολογία, 2007: 299). Such facts can be witnessed in the pastoral novel by Longus as well.

Having elucidated the concept "Plant world" we have singled out 5 main microconcepts: 1) trees, their parts and fruits; 2) flowers; 3) shrubs and herbs; 4) general names of plant world representatives; 5) agricultural plants. In our article we are going to investigate only the microconcepts of the first three groups, as they are represented in the novel by the biggest number of lexical units.

The first place among the trees denominations is allotted to the lexical dominant ἡ πίτυς – pine-tree (21 lexical usage). *The pine-tree* (ἡ πίτυς) is an evergreen tree that can grow on the poorest soil. Due to its qualities the pine-tree has become the symbol of longevity and immortality, stiffness and ability to overcome unfavourable circumstances. In ancient culture the pine was dedicated to Saturn and Pan, it served as the sign of Cybele – out of pine branches the fire was prepared for the dead to be burnt (Большая энциклопедия символов и знаков, 2007: 613). The fact that the wreath of pine branches as an ornament on Pan's head, is also mentioned by Liubker, the author of "A Real Dictionary of Classical Antiquities" (Реальный словарь классических древностей по Любкеру, 1885: 1050).

The main characters of the novel Daphnis and Chloe often expressed their praise to Gods – the patrons of shepherds by putting the pine wreaths on their heads. Wreaths were brought to Pan (στεφανίσκουσ τυφ Πανι) by elderly Philetas with his son. Daphnis and Chloe also put the wreaths on the Pan's statue, yet not only the pine branches, but

also vine bush: συνεστεφάνουον τὸν Πᾶνα καὶ τὰ κλήματα τῆσ κόμησ τῆσ πίτυοσ ἐξήρτων – *they together wreathed Pan, attaching vine bush to pine branches* (2,32,2). As nature with Longus is made human, so the metaphors are rather often concerned with the phenomena of nature (plants in particular). Refined metaphorization is characteristic of the construction with the component ἡ κόμη (hair) – ἡ κόμη τῆσ πίτυοσ "branch (literally – hair) of the pine".

The characters of the novel adorned themselves with pine branches, probably, to be appealing for each other, as, for instance, Chloe: *...having washed the face, she put on the wreath of pine branches* – ἀπολουσαμένη τὸ πρόσωπον πίτυοσ ἐστεφανοῦτο κλάδοισ (1,23,3). *When Daphnis saw her in deer's hide and in the wreath of pine* (ὁ δὲ ἰδὼν ἐν νεβρίδι καὶ στεφάνω πίτυοσ (1,24,1), he thought he saw the one from the grotto of Nymphs. The wreath of pine, in our opinion, is in the novel by Longus an attribute of the enamoured in general. Daphnis, for example, stole the wreath of pine from Chloe's head, first kissed it, and then put it on himself: Ὁ μὲν οὖν τὴν πίτυν ἀπὸ τῆσ κεφαλῆσ ἀρπάζων αὐτὸσ ἐστεφανοῦτο, πρότερον φιλήσασ τὸν στέφανον (1,24,2).

Pine wreath as Pan's symbol appeared unexpectedly on Chloe's head (ῶφθη δὲ καὶ αὐτῆ πίτυοσ ἐστεφανωμένη (2,26,2), when she was kidnapped by Methymneans. It meant that Chloe was under Pan's protection. Expressing gratitude for saving Chloe, the lovers did not forget to honour Pan. They selected the best billy-goat and, *having decorated it with pine wreath* (στεφανώσαντες πίτυοσ) took it to the statue of Pan to sacrifice (2,31,2).

Pine in the novel becomes a peculiar symbol of Daphnis and Chloe's ever-lasting love. It was near this particular tree that Daphnis took his love oath to Chloe: ὤμοσεν ἐλθὼν ἐπὶ τὴν πίτυν μὴ ζῆσσεσθαι μόνοσ ἄνευ Χλόησ μηδὲ μιᾶσ χρόνον ἡμέρασ (2,39,1) – *he vowed approaching the pine-tree, that shall not live alone without Chloe any single day of a year.*

Out of many denominations in the novel by Longus oak (ἡ δρυς) is singled, for the place under the oak-tree is usual one for encounters of Daphnis and Chloe: ὑπὸ τῆ δρυὶ καθεσθέντες ἐσύριπτον (2,38,3) – *when settled themselves under the oak-tree, they started playing the shepherd's pipe.* The author ascribes it the attribute συνήθησ (usual) to emphasize the importance of this tree in the life of Daphnis and Chloe: ὁ μὲν Δάφνισ ὑπὸ τῆ δρυὶ τῆ συνήθει καθεζόμενοσ ἐσύριπτε (1,13,4) – *Daphnis, who was sitting under a usual oak-tree was playing the shepherd's pipe.* The oak (to be more precise, the place under the oak) is as much loved a place for the sweethearts' encounters as the grotto of Nymphs and Pan's statue.

On the whole, an oak is considered to be the tree that belongs to Zeus (Αντιχνη μифολογία, 2007: 299). The Greeks thought it to be a sacred tree which symbolized strength and power. That is why it is not by chance that near the oak the two lovers Daphnis and Chloe spent most of their life, near this tree their feelings forged.

Three places of lover's encounters, in our opinion, are not named accidentally. *The grotto of Nymphs* – sanctified the feelings of Daphnis and Chloe, where they vowed to love each other all their life, *the pine-tree* – stimulated physiological attachment of one to another, symbolizing the beauty of the body that seduced, and *the oak* which may be considered the symbol of longevity and strength of the lover's feelings.

In the text of the novel such trees are also mentioned as ἡ πετελέα (elm-tree) and ἡ συκῆ (fig-tree), ὁ λάτανος (plane-tree), ἡ κυπάριττος (cypress) and ἡ δάφνη (laurel). The lexemes ὁ πλάτανος (from πλατύς – wide) – *plane-tree* or *Eastern maple* and ἡ κυπάριττος (cypress) we refer to *non-equivalent lexis* which denominate specific notions, adherent only to certain languages; and that is why its significance for the embodiment of the world picture of the author is extremely important (Тупиця, 2005). In the novel by Longus in the garden of Dionysophanes grew *cypresses and laurels, plane-trees and pine-trees* – καὶ κυπάριττοι καὶ δάφναι καὶ πλάτανοι καὶ πίτυς (4,2,3). In ancient times *plane-tree* a favorite tree of the Greeks because of its slenderness and shadowy branches. In every place, where it was necessary to lay a beautiful park, plane-trees were sure to be planted. Though plane-tree did not bring fruit, it was often cultivated in the gardens, too (Реальный словарь классических древностей по Любкеру, 1885: 1055). *Laurel*, for instance, was dedicated to Apollo (Αντιχνη μифολογία, 2007: 299), and *cypress* – to the God of underground world – Hades (Полная энциклопедия символов и знаков, 2008: 199). From this one can but conclude that Dionysophanes's garden was one of the most exquisite places, different from ordinary gardens, it was the garden of a rich master, the owner of a big estate.

*Myrtle tree* was dedicated to Aphrodite (Αντιχνη μифολογία, 2007: 299). Myrtle is a kind of southern evergreen tree with dark-green fragrant leaves that contain ethereal oil. The leaves and branches of myrtle were used predominantly for wreaths with which the winners of the games were adorned et al. From the leaves of myrtle ointment was also made and from the berries dark-coloured oil was squeezed out. Myrtle was ascribed a purifying power, and on account of this it was used at the ceremonies, for example, as a symbol of marriage and so on

(Реальный словарь классических древностей по Любкеру, 1885: 894–895). Myrtle-tree (ἡ μυρρίνη or τὸ μύρτον) as a symbol of marriage was used in the scene of Eros's appearance in the garden of elderly Philetas in the shape of a boy who was holding pomegranates and myrtles: ὑπὸ ταῖς ροιαῖς καὶ ταῖς μυρρίναις..., μύρτα καὶ ροιὰς ἔχων (2,4,1).

Longus defines myrtle tree with epithets μεγάλη (big (3,5,1), χλωρός (green). With Longus in the colour spectrum of epithets that depict the world of nature, the predominance of green colour which the plant world is endowed with is noticeable: νῦν ἄμπελοι καὶ συκαὶ καὶ ροαὶ καὶ μύρτα χλωρά (2,3,4) – *now (grow) the vines, fig-trees, pomegranates and green myrtle* (i.e. dark-green). One may speak about the performing of the epithet χλωρός in the cited example the stylistic function of landscape detail. A high frequency of the epithet χλωρός usage in the Longus's novel "Daphnis and Chloe" as the predominance of green colour on the whole, from our point of view, is connected with the very genre of pastoral novel, the events of which are held right in the setting of nature, and green colour is a general symbol of its vital power.

In the text of the novel one comes across the usage of two comparative constructions in one context, where the objects of comparison are phytoloxemes τὸ μύρτον (myrtle) and τὸ μήλον (apple). Most often such similes occur in the speech of the lovers Daphnis and Chloe: καὶ ἡ μὲν εἶκασεν αὐτοῦ, τὴν κόμην, ὅτι μέλαινα, μύρτοις, ὁ δὲ μήλω τὸ πρόσωπον αὐτῆς... (1,24,3) – *she compared his hair to myrtle, because [it was] black, and he [compared] her face to an apple.*

Besides the names of the trees themselves micro-concepts of the concept "Plant world" are the names of their parts: leaves (ἡ φυλλάς, τὸ φύλλον), vine, branch (ὁ ἀκρεμών, ὁ κλάδος, ὁ λύγος, ἡ ῥάβδος), bark (ὁ φλοιός), root (ἡ ρίζα). Altogether, for the denomination of the word "vine" in the novel by Longus four synonymous lexemes (ὁ ἀκρεμών, ὁ κλάδος, ἡ λύγος, ἡ ῥάβδος) are found, which form in the text of the novel such epithet-creating word combinations as: ῥάβδος χλωρά (green vine (1,5,1), ῥάβδος μακρά (long vine (3,6,1), λύγος ξηρά (dry vine (2,1,2), λύγος χλωρά (green vine (2,13,3; 2,13,4; 2,15,2), λύγος μακρά (long vine (2,13,3), κλάδος γυμνός (bare vine (3,33,4). It is worth mentioning that lexeme ἡ λύγος (vine, branch) in the text of the novel is indicated in feminine gender, which is Longus's innovation (A Greek-English Lexicon, 1968: 1063).

Metaphoric transference "hair-leaves" can be traced in the sentence: ἐν μετεώρῳ δὲ οἱ κλάδοι συνέπιπτον ἀλλήλοις καὶ ἐπήλλαττον τὰς κόμας

(4,2,5) – *and at top [of the tree] the branches met with each other and tangled with hair (i.e. leaves).*

Among the names of the fruit an apple (τὸ μήλον), which in the novel by Longus is the symbol of love, is mentioned especially often. Throwing an apple into someone's hands was considered a manifestation of love (Schönberger, 1973: 181). Such episode is in Theocritus's work (5,88). Probably, it was from this author that Longus borrowed a similar fragment: μήλοισι ἀλλήλους ἔβαλον (1,24,3) – *[Daphnis and Chloe] were throwing apples at each other.*

In the description of the apple that Daphnis presented Chloe with as a sign of love, Longus uses the epithets μέγας καὶ καλός: καὶ ἓν μήλον ἐπέτετο ἐν αὐτοῖς ἄκροισι ἀκρότατον, μέγα καὶ καλὸν καὶ τῶν πολλῶν τὴν εὐωδίαν ἐνίκα μόνον (3,33,4) – *and one apple was hanging at the top [of the tree] – the highest, big and beautiful, and the only among the many due to the aroma.* Apart from this, some scientists (W. E. McCulloh, R. L. Hunter) in this episode see the reverberation of the famous fragment from Sappho's poem (105a) (McCulloh, 1970: 75–76; Hunter, 1983: 74).

In general, the lexeme τὸ μήλον (apple) in the novel we can be found in the epithet word combinations only with positive evaluating, such as: μήλον ὠραῖον – *a wonderful apple* (1,15,3), μήλον μέγα καὶ καλὸν – *a big and beautiful apple* (3,33,4 twice), μήλον εὐανθῆς καὶ εὐώδης – *a fragrant and blooming apple* (3,33,3), μήλον μόνον – *the only apple* (3,33,4), μήλον χρυσοῦν – *a golden apple* (3,34,3).

The feelings of Daphnis and Chloe in the summer the author compares with a ripe apple. Daphnis picked the apple and brought it as a present for Chloe. Daphnis's monologue will be fully cited: ὦ παρθένε, τοῦτο τὸ μήλον ἔφυσαν ὦραι καλαὶ καὶ φυτὸν καλὸν ἔθρεψε πεπαινόντος ἡλίου καὶ ἐτήρησε Τύχη. Καὶ οὐκ ἔμελλον αὐτὸ καταλιπεῖν ὀφθαλμοῦς ἔχων, ἵνα πέση χαμαὶ καὶ ἡ ποιμνιον αὐτὸ πατήσῃ νεμόμενον ἢ ἐρπετὸν φαρμάξῃ συρόμενον ἢ χρόνος δαπανήσῃ ἐπικείμενον... Τοῦτο Ἀφροδίτη κάλλους ἔλαβεν ἄθλον· τοῦτο ἐγὼ σοὶ δίδωμι νικητήριον (3,34,2) – *Oh maiden, this apple was born by the Mountains, the beautiful, and the beautiful plant raised it, the sun made it ripe, and Destiny kept it for me. And how, having the eyes, one can allow it to fall to the ground for the herd, grassing, to smash it, or for the grass-snake, writhing, to poison it, or the time to ruin what is lying ... Such [apple] Aphrodite received as an award for beauty; I give it to you as an award for victory.* Longus's idiostyle peculiarity lies in the fact that very often in one sentence several metaphors are used succeedingly which often are complemented with similes (syncretism of artistic means). Longus strengthens Daphnis's mon-

ologue with the simile: Chloe's kiss which Daphnis got as a reward was dearer than a golden apple: ἔλαβε γὰρ κρεῖττον καὶ χρυσοῦ μήλου φίλημα (3,34,3).

With apple which served in ancient times as a symbol of love Longus also compares the beauty of the main character – Chloe: ὁ δὲ μήλω τὸ πρόσωπον αὐτῆς, ὅτι λευκὸν καὶ ἐνερευθῆς ἦν (1,24,3) – *He [Daphnis compared] her face to the apple, for being white and crimson (=white-rosy).* Chloe's lips have the same odour as apples, literally: οἶον δὲ ἀποπνεῖ τὸ στόμα· οὐδὲ τὰ μήλα τοιοῦτον, οὐδὲ αἱ λόχμαι (1,25,2) – *as lips breathe, so [do not smell sweet] neither apples, nor bushes do.*

The constant source of metaphorization with Longus is confronting natural phenomena such as representatives of plant world with some human actions (i.e. upbringing), as well as finding likeness through the verbal indication of abstract notions (destiny) to the realities of material world.

Thus, one may conclude that the lexemes of the microconcept “*Trees, their parts and fruits*” the author uses for depicting the inner world of the protagonists, their feelings and emotions.

We have found in the text of the novel some metaphors with the florisms components that belong to the microconcept “*Flowers*”. The Hellenes considered that flowers were created by the God of love Eros. And we read about this in the novel by Longus as well: τὰ ἄνθη πάντα Ἔρωτος ἔργα· τὰ φυτὰ ταῦτα τούτου ποιήματα (2,7,3) – *all flowers – works of Eros, all plants – these are his dids.* They are “raised by west wind” and “warmed by the sun”: τὰ δὲ ἄρτι ὁ ζέφυρος τρέφων καὶ ὁ ἥλιος θερμαίνων (3,12,2).

Longus contrasts the flowers born by nature (τὸ ἶον, ὁ νάρκισσος, ἡ ἀναγαλλίς) to the flowers cultivated by man (τὸ ρόδον, ὁ ὑάκινθος, τὸ κρίνον). Violet, narcissus and pimpernel in the novel are metaphorically named “the first spring attire”: ὅμως δὲ εὐρέθη καὶ ἴα καὶ νάρκισσος καὶ ἀναγαλλίς καὶ ὅσα ἦρος πρωτοφορήματα (3,12,2) – *and yet they found violet, and narcissus, and pimpernel and all that is spring's first attire.* ροδωνιά καὶ ὑάκινθοι καὶ κρίνα χειρὸς ἔργα (4,2,6) – *roses and hyacinths, and lilies – (man's) hands' creation,* – we read in the novel. As O. Schönberger states in the notes to the German translation of the novel, violets, narcissi and eye's blossom (pimpernel), indeed, belong to the first spring flowers that bloom in Greece (Schönberger, 1973: 197). The fact we consider of special interest is that the lexeme ἡ ἀναγαλλίς (pimpernel) in the dictionary of H. G. Liddell and R. Scott is presented with the authorship mentioning of a medical man Dioscorides (2,178) and Longus (3,12) (A Greek-English Lexicon, 1968: 100).

Image creating function in the novel by Longus is performed by botanomorphous tropes. This phenomenon consists in finding likeness or comparing objects, people (or parts of their body), phenomena, notions with plants. Comparing Daphnis's hair with flowers is the most expressive way to impersonify the highest degree of Chloe's enchantment with his beauty: καὶ τὴν κόμην ἐφίλησεν ὡς τῶν ἴων κρείττονα (3,20,3) – *and she kissed the hair which was better than violets*. By the way, violet and its colour became the symbols of Dionysus cult, the cult of passion and emotions, whereas a lot of episodes in the Longus's novel are connected with the cult of Dionysus.

The dark colour of hyacinth lies in the basis of the comparison of Daphnis with this flower (according to the colour of the hair): μέλας, καὶ γὰρ ὁ ὑάκινθος (1,16,4) – *black as a hyacinth*. The sign of tenderness lies in the basis of Chloe's lips comparison with rose petals: χεῖλη μὲν ῥόδων ἀπαλώτερα (1,18,1) – *her lips tenderer than roses*. Belonging to the author, from our point of view, is the comparison confronting hyacinth with lilies: ἀλλὰ κρείττων... ὁ ὑάκινθος κρίνων (1,16,4) – *but better still... is hyacinth than lilies*.

As an enormous tragedy is depicted the scene of the flowers' death (they were ruined by Lampis, destroying Lamon's garden). Those flowers, *which avoided the violence, burst into bloom and were shining*: τῶν δὲ εἴ τι διέφυγε τὴν ὕβριν, ὑπήνθει καὶ ἔλαμπε (4,8,1). Lamon in despair turns to the God Dionysus, reproaching him for allowing to taunt the miserable flowers: οὐδὲ σύ, δέσποτα Διόνυσε, τὰ ἄθλια ταῦτα ἠλέησας ἄνθη (4,8,4) – *neither you, the sovereign Dionysus, had mercy over these unfortunate flowers*.

Thus, as we see, lexical nominations for the "Nature" conceptual sphere can be used for describing both positive and negative emotions, in particular, as in our case, those of fear, sadness, grief.

It is also worth mentioning that contextual partners of the nominations mentioned, flowers in particular, create respective lexical background against which floral lexemes for nature landscape denominations bear semantic load peculiar for this or that season. Thus, the beginning of spring with Longus is sure to be connected with the appearance of flowers and the following lines are the testimony of this: Ἦρος ἦν ἀρχὴ καὶ πάντα ἤκμαζεν ἄνθη, τὰ ἐν δρυμοῖς, τὰ ἐν λειμῶσι καὶ ὅσα ὄρεα (1,9,1) – *it was the beginning of spring and everywhere the flowers were blooming: in the woods, on the meadows and mountainous [places]*.

Less frequent in the concept sphere of "Nature" is the microconcept "*Shrubs and herbs*": ἡ ἄρκευθος (juniper), ὁ βᾶτος (bramble), ἡ ῥοδωνιά (rose bush), ὁ κιττός (ivy), ἡ ἄκανθα (thorn) etc.

As other floral lexemes the names of shrubs and herbs are used by the author for landscape depicting. Let us turn to the beginning of the novel where the author describes the place in which little Daphnis was found: δρυμὸς ἦν καὶ λόχη βᾶτων καὶ κιττὸς ἐπιπλανώμενος καὶ πόα μαλακὴ (1,2,1) – *there was the forest and brushwood of bramble interweaved with ivy and soft grass*.

Among these nominations in the novel by Longus the lexeme which attracts attention is ὁ κιττός (ivy) that belongs to evergreen plants and indicates eternal life without death (Φολι, 1997: 321–322). For many peoples of the world ivy is the symbol of affection and friendship due to the ability of interweave, find support in life. And it was due to this that Chloe's parents, honouring Dionysus, before feasting time *decorated their heads with ivy*, like as follows: κιττῶ τὰς κεφαλὰς ἐστεφανωμένοι (3,11,1). With the wreath of ivy the animal destined to sacrificing was decorated to do it in festive attire with great gratitude to the God. Daphnis and Chloe, for instance, made sacrifice to Nymphs, *having chosen the best of the goats and wreathed with ivy* – τῶν αἰγῶν τὴν ἀρίστην συλλαβῶν καὶ κιττῶ στεφανώσας (2,30,5).

Composite κορυμβοφόρος characterizes the ivy (ὁ κιττός), *which has the bunch of berries*: αἱ αἰγες κιττὸν ἐν τοῖς κέρασι κορυμβοφόρον εἶχον (2,26,1) – *the goats had the ivy with the bunches of berries on their horns*. Epithet word combination κιττός κορυμβοφόρος may be considered an individual author's one (A Greek-English Lexicon, 1968: 982).

Ivy is connected with the location of little Daphnis who was left being a child in the grotto. The episode about finding Daphnis in the ivy (1,2,1) resembles the fragments in "Varia historia" (10,18) by Aelian and Diodorus (4,84). R. Merkelbach argues that ivy around the baby-founding resembles Dionysus around whom right after his birth the ivy twined (Merkelbach, 1988: 195).

Longus in his novel rather minutely describes the vineyards, the vine, offers vast descriptions of the processes of grape-gathering, instruments of production, processes of vine making and special festivities (*Dionysia*). That is why some lexemes, connected with vine deserve special attention. These are words-synonyms which designate part of grapes – *shrub-vine* (τὸ κλήμα, ἡ ἄμπελος). Similar semantics has the lexeme ἡ ἀναδενδράς that is also used for defining "vine", but such one which is planted near the tree or is twined up the tree. The French scientist G. Dalmeyda cites an example of word usage ἀναδενδράς (2,1,4) and defines it as κοινόν. Nevertheless J. R. Vieillefond denies this statement and writes that this word is neither "new", as it is fixed

already in 4–3 cen. B. C., nor indeed “widely used”, as it belongs to viticulture vocabulary (Vieillefond, 1987: 218), which is well worth admitting. In particular, we have found the context, in which all three synonymic lexemes are used for denoting of “vine”: *πάσα γὰρ κατὰ τὴν Λέσβον ἢ ἄμπελος ταπεινὴ, οὐ μετέωρος οὐδὲ ἀναδενδράς, ἀλλὰ κάτω τὰ κλήματα ἀποτεινούσα καὶ ὥσπερ κίττος νεομένη* (2,1,4) – *on Lesbos all grapes vines are low, not vines which stretch up the tree to the top, but vines which spread low and, like ivy, creep along the ground.*

**Conclusions.** Hence, the concept “Plant world” in the novel by Longus “Daphnis and Chloe” is an extremely important constituent of the individual and author’s language picture of the writer’s world. Microconcepts investigated perform their lexical objectification in the contexts through the semantic combinability with other words, manifesting at the

same time direct and transferred (image-making) meaning and being a constituent part of different artistic means.

The results obtained in the process of analysis of the text of ancient Greek novel by Longus “Daphnis and Chloe” that represent the concept of “Plant world”, is an important addition for the generalization and characterization of the concept system of ancient Greek culture, will facilitate the deepening of understanding of the national and language world picture, of the specificity of ethnic consciousness, aiming at the perception and realization of the ancient times plant world and, at the same time, may be the base for investigating the means of lexical objectification of floral lexemes reflected in the language world pictures of other ancient Greek writers-novelists I–III cen. A. D. (Xenophon of Ephesus, Chariton, Achilles Tatius, Heliodorus).

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Античная мифология : энциклопедия / сост., общ. ред. и предисл. К. Королева. Москва : Эксмо; Санкт-Петербург : Мидгард, 2007. 768 с.
2. Большая энциклопедия символов и знаков / сост. А. Егазаров. Москва : Астрель, 2007. 723 с.
3. Гринбаум Н. С. Поэтическая лексика Пиндара (растительный мир). *Philologia classica – 3. Язык и стиль памятников античной литературы*. Ленинград : Изд-во Ленинградского ун-та, 1987. С. 31–39.
4. Жайворонок В. В. Українська етнолінгвістика: нариси : навчальний посібник для студентів вищих навчальних закладів. Київ : Довіра, 2007. 262 с.
5. Івашків-Ващук О. В. Мовно-концептуальний простір ідилій Теокрита : автореф. дис. на здобуття наук. ступеня канд. філол. наук : спец. 10.02.14 «Класичні мови. Окремі індоєвропейські мови». Київ, 2016. 20 с.
6. Полная энциклопедия символов и знаков / авт.-сост. В. В. Адамчик. Минск : Харвест, 2008. 607 с.
7. Реальный словарь классических древностей по Любкеру. Санкт-Петербург, 1885. 1552 с.
8. Тупиця О. Ю. Композиційна роль безеквівалентної лексики в поетичному тексті : автореф. дис. ... канд. філол. наук : спец. 10.02.01 «Українська мова». Київ, 2005. 21 с.
9. Фоли Д. Энциклопедия знаков и символов. Москва : Вече, АСТ, 1997. 432 с.
10. A Greek-English Lexicon / compiled by H. G. Liddell and R. Scott (With a Supplement). Oxford, 1968. 2042 p.
11. Forster Edward S. Trees and Plants in Herodotus. *The Classical Review*. Vol. 56, Issue 02. Cambridge University Press, 1942. Pp. 57–63.
12. Forster Edward S. Trees and Plants in Homer. *The Classical Review*. Vol. 50, Issue 03. Cambridge University Press, 1936. Pp. 97–104.
13. Forster Edward S. Trees and Plants in the Greek Tragic Writers. *Greece and Rome*. Vol. 21, Issue 62. Cambridge University Press, 1952. Pp. 57–63.
14. Hunter R. L. A Study of Daphnis & Chloe. Cambridge : Cambridge University Press, 1983. 136 p.
15. McCulloh W. E. Longus. New York : Twayne Publishers, Inc., 1970. 143 p.
16. Merkelbach R. Der Roman des Longus. *Die Hirten des Dionysos. Die Dionysos-Mysterien der römischen Kaiserzeit und der bukolische Roman des Longos*. Stuttgart : B.G. Teubner, 1988. S. 137–197.
17. Sargeaunt J. The Trees, Shrubs and Plants of Virgil. General Books, LLC, 2010. 78 p.
18. Schönberger O. Longos. Hirtengeschichten von Daphnis und Chloe. Griechisch und deutsch. Berlin : Akademie-Verlag, 1973. 215 s.
19. Vieillefond J. R. Préface. *Longus: Pastorales (Daphnis et Chloé)*. Paris : Société D’édition “Les Belles Lettres”, 1987. S. 5–221.

#### REFERENCES

1. Antichnaia mifologija: entsyklopedija (2007) [Ancient mythology: encyclopedia]. Moskva: Eksmo; Sankt-Peterburg: Midgard. 768 p. [in Russian].
2. Bolshaia entsyklopedija simvolov i znakov (2007) [Large encyclopedia of symbols and signs]. Moskva: Astrel. 723 p. [in Russian].
3. Grinbaum, N. S. (1987). Poeticheskaia leksika Pindara (rastitelnyi mir) [Pindar’s poetical lexicon (plant world)]. *Philologia classica – 3. Iazyk i stil antichnoi literatury* [Language and style of ancient literature monuments]. Leningrad: Izdatelstvo Leningradskogo universiteta, pp. 31–39 [in Russian].

4. Zhaivoronok, V. V. (2007). *Ukraiinska etnolinhvistyka: narysy* [Ukrainian ethnolinguistics: Essays]. Kyiv: Dovira. 262 p. [in Ukrainian].
5. Ivashkiv-Vashchuk, O. V. (2016). *Movno-kontseptualnyi prostir idylli Teokrita* [Linguistic-conceptual space of Theocritus' idylls]. [Script of Phd Thesis]. Kyiv: KNU im. T. Shevchenka. 20 p. [in Ukrainian].
6. *Polnaia entsyklopediia simvolov i znakov* (2008). [Complete Encyclopedia of Symbols and Signs]. Minsk: Harvest. 607 p. [in Russian].
7. *Realnyi slovar klassicheskikh drevnostei po Liubkeru* (1885). [Liubker's Real Dictionary of Classical Literature and Antiquities]. Sankt-Peterburg. 1552 p. [in Russian].
8. Tupytsia, O. Yu. (2005) *Kompozytsiina rol bezekvivalentnoi leksyky v poetychnomu teksti* [Compositional role of non-equivalent lexicon in the poetic text]. [Script of Phd Thesis]. Kyiv: The Institute of the Ukrainian Language of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. 21 p. [in Ukrainian].
9. Foli, D. (1997). *Entsyklopediia znakov i simvolov* [An Encyclopedia of Signs and Symbols]. Moskva: Veche, AST. 432 p. [in Russian].
10. *A Greek-English Lexicon* compiled by H. G. Liddell and R. Scott (1968). Oxford. 2042 p. [in English].
11. Forster, E. S. (1942). *Trees and Plants in Herodotus*. *The Classical Review*. Vol. 56 (02), pp. 57–63 [in English].
12. Forster, E. S. (1936). *Trees and Plants in Homer*. *The Classical Review*. Vol. 50 (03), pp. 97–104 [in English].
13. Forster, E. S. (1952). *Trees and Plants in the Greek Tragic Writers*. *Greece and Rome*. Vol. 21 (62), pp. 57–63 [in English].
14. Hunter, R. L. (1983). *A Study of Daphnis & Chloe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 136 p. [in English].
15. McCulloh, W. E. (1970). *Longus*. New York: Twayne Publishers, Inc. 143 p. [in English].
16. Merkelbach, R. (1988). *Der Roman des Longus. Die Hirten des Dionysos. Die Dionysos-Mysterien der römischen Kaiserzeit und der bukolische Roman des Longos*. Stuttgart: B.G. Teubner, pp. 137–197 [in German].
17. Sargeant, J. (2010). *The Trees, Shrubs and Plants of Virgil*. General Books, LLC. 78 p. [in English].
18. Schönberger, O. (1973). *Longos. Hirtengeschichten von Daphnis und Chloe*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag. 215 s. [in German].
19. Vieillefond, J. R. (1987). *Préface. Longus: Pastorales (Daphnis et Chloé)*. Paris: Société D'édition "Les Belles Lettres", pp. 5–221 [in French].